## **REMARKS**

Prior to this Response, Claims 1-38 were pending in the above-referenced patent application. The Patent Office required a restriction to one of the following inventions: Group I including Claims 1-30 or Group II including Claims 31-38.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant herein elects Claim Group II (Claims 31-38) with traverse.

The elected claims are directed to a method for choosing particular orthotics for a particular person. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the requirement to identify a species because the elected method Claims 31-38, especially independent method Claim 31, are generic to all three species.

This provisional election is done with traverse also to the extent this restriction requirement might later be shown to be misplaced, and without prejudice to the filing of possible divisional applications directed to the subject matter in the non-elected claims.

## **CONCLUSION**

Please charge any deficit or credit any surplus to our Deposit Account No. 01-1960. A duplicate copy of this page is enclosed for that purpose.

For these, and other, reasons, Applicants believe that the claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration, re-examination, and allowance of all claims are respectfully requested.

## Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: March 27, 2006.

By: Sarah A. Nielsen

Darah a Nielber Signature Respectfully submitted,

Myers Dawes Andras & Sherman, LLP

Vic Y. Lin, Reg. No. 43,754

19900 MacArthur Blvd., 11th Floor

Irvine, CA 92612

Tel: (949) 223-9600 Fax: (949) 223-9610

USPTO Customer No.: 23386

R:\A-L\Copeskey, Dr. Paul\COP1.PAU.02\Response to Restriction Req.doc